

From: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Liu, Yi-Kai \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: RE: polishing the CFP
Date: Friday, May 6, 2016 12:17:38 PM

Okay, I'll ask Stephen to polish.

I agree with what you said about Shall's, etc. I will make those changes. As for the key establishment/agreement/exchange, I am waiting to hear Lily's opinion.

Thanks!

Dustin

From: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed)
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 11:30 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: polishing the CFP

Hmm, come to think of it, you could also try asking Stephen to do this...

--Yi-Kai

From: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed)
Sent: Friday, May 6, 2016 11:13 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Subject: Re: polishing the CFP

Hi Dustin,

Ok, I can try to do this. Although on some level, Rene might be a better fit, since he is always correcting people's grammar and punctuation. If you like, send me the current version of the file and I will go through it.

Cheers,

--Yi-Kai

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Thursday, May 5, 2016 1:21:14 PM
To: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed)
Subject: polishing the CFP

Yi-Kai,

Lily read through the CFP one more time, and asked if we can do a once-over on it to polish it up a bit, so it doesn't appear so much that it was written by different people. She mentioned that some areas are very technical and dry, while in other places the writing is more lively and informal. What do you think? She thought you did a good job of "polishing" the NISTIR to achieve the same purpose. Would you be able to do so on the CFP? I don't think it's an urgent priority, but it would be nice to do. Let me know. Thanks,

Dustin